



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,770	02/22/2002		Ross Tsugita	269/205	2982
34263	7590	01/14/2005		EXAMINER	
O'MELVE			BUI, V	BUI, VY Q	
114 PACIFICA, SUITE 100 IRVINE, CA 92618				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3731	
				DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/080,770	TSUGITA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vy Q. Bui	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 N	<u>lovember 2004</u> .						
	<u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Burea		ed					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	6. 🗀	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/080,770

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of invention shown in Fig. 11 in the reply filed on 5/18/2004 is acknowledged and made final.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by YADAV et al. (6,391,044).

As to claims 1-2, YADAV (Fig. 14-15 and 21, for example) shows elongate wire 164/250, filter membrane 170/260 having a distal portion and a proximal free end portion, deploying means/control mechanism/sleeve 180/270 as recited in the claims.

As to claim 3, YADAV (Fig. 21) shows filter membrane 260 having rounded sections.

As to claims 6-10, YADAV (Fig. 14-15) inherently discloses a method of using the filter device as recited in the claims.

As to claims 4-5, YADAV (col. 6, lines 3-5) discloses pore size of the filter membrane in a range of 20-300 microns.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over YADAV et al. (6,391,044).

As to claims 11-14, YADAV discloses substantially the structural limitations of the instant invention. YADAV does not explicitly disclose the device for use in an angioplasty procedure at location in a carotid vessel or a coronary vessel. However, the use of a vascular filter in an angioplasty procedure at a location in a carotid vessel or a coronary vessel is well known in the art to prevent a blockage/obstruction of a blood flow. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use YADAV filter in a carotid vessel or a coronary vessel so as one can filter/trap small pieces/particles broken from a blood vessel wall during an angioplasty procedure to prevent a blockage/obstruction of a blood flow.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,770

Art Unit: 3731

### Response to Amendment

The argument filed on 11/11/2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the YADAV-'044 reference.

The Applicants assert that YADAV –'044 does not teach or suggest a filter system wherein the free end of the filter membrane "has a generally scalloped shape" as required by both independent claims 1 and 6.

However, in comparison of Fig. 11 of the present invention to Fig, 14-15 and especially Fig. 21 of Yadav-'044, it is reasonable to conclude that at least Yadav filters shown in Fig. 14-15 and 21, for example, include "a general scallop shape". Therefore, the above rejection is applicable to the claims.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

Application/Control Number: 10/080,770

Art Unit: 3731

the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vy Q. Bui

Primary Examiner

01/14/2005

Page 5

Art Unit 3731